01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
08	AT SEATTLE	
09	KENNETH MARTIN,	CASE NO. C12-2179-RSL-MAT
10	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C12-21/9-RSL-WAT
11	v. )	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS
12	JEFFREY UTTECHT,	ORDER RE. FENDING MOTIONS
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner Kenneth Martin proceeds pro se in this habeas corpus matter pursuant to 28	
16	U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent submitted a Motion to Disclose Evidence (Dkt. 10) and petitioner	
17	submitted a Motion for Stay and Abeyance of Habeas Corpus Petition During Extension of	
18	Time (Dkt. 11). Having considered the pending motions, and the balance of the record, the	
19	Court does hereby find and ORDER as follows:	
20	(1) Petitioner's motion for stay and abeyance (Dkt. 11) is DENIED. Petitioner's	
21	concern that the timeliness of his petition could be affected by the extension of time granted	
22	respondent to file an answer is unwarranted.	While the Court is not yet in a position to
	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -1	

consider the timeliness of the habeas petition, that determination is based on the filing of the 01 petition itself, not respondent's answer. 02 Respondent's motion to disclose evidence (Dkt. 10) is GRANTED. <sup>1</sup> 03 (2) 04Respondent observes that petitioner, in the memorandum of law filed after his petition (see Dkt. 05 7), references a number of documents not submitted with either his petition or the The Court agrees that, in order for respondent to properly respond to 06 memorandum. petitioner's contentions, he must have the opportunity to consider the documents relied upon by petitioner. As such, petitioner shall provide to respondent and the Court, on or before **March** 08 09 12, 2013, copies of all emails and other documents referenced in the document appended to his memorandum of law following Appendix 10, at pages 1-3, and his habeas petition at Ground 10 Two, as well as the "sequence of events letter given July of 2009" as referenced in Ground 11 Three of the habeas petition under "Supporting Facts." (See Dkts. 1 & 7.) As further requested 12 13 by respondent, petitioner shall organize the emails and other documents in chronological order, as described in his memorandum's outline following Appendix 10, at pages 1-3. 14 15 (3) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to the parties and to the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik. 16 17 DATED this 19th day of February, 2013. 18 19 United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22 1 The Court addresses this motion prior to the noting date in order to allow for the submission of the requested materials prior to the due date of respondent's answer. ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS

PAGE -2